arXiv:hep-ph/9702378v1 20 Feb 1997

IASSNS-HEP-97/12

February 20, 1997

SU(4) Preonic Interpretation of the HERA Positron-Jet Events

Stephen L. Adler

Institute for Advanced Study

Princeton, NJ 08540

Send correspondence to:

Stephen L. Adler
Institute for Advanced Study
Olden Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540
Phone 609-734-8051; FAX 609-924-8399; email adler@sns.ias. edu

ABSTRACT

We show that our recent $SU(4)$ generalized “rishon” composite model for quarks and leptons, augmented either by the hypothesis of breaking of color $SU(3)$ to “glow” $SO(3)$, or by the hypothesis of incomplete color neutralization in very hard processes, leads to an interpretation of the HERA positron-jet events as the production by color gluon exchange, followed by the decay by color gluon emission, of the positron member of a heavy family partner of the positron.

In a recent paper [1] we have given a composite model of quarks and leptons, based on the semisimple gauge group $SU(4)$, with the preons in the 10 representation. Our model is a generalization of the "rishon" model of Harari and Seiberg [2], in which the $\overline{T}_L$ and $\overline{V}_L$ rishons correspond respectively to the $SU(3)$ representations 6_L and 3_L appearing in the decomposition of the 10_L under the symmetry breaking scheme $SU(4) \supset SU(3) \times U(1)$. The 10_L also contains a color singlet $\overline{S}_L$, which plays no direct role in the binding of composite quarks and leptons, but participates in the formuation of a postulated condensate $S_L^3 T_L^3$, which serves three functions in the model.

The first function of the condensate is to break $SU(4)$ to $SU(3) \times U(1)$, providing the basis for the classification of composite quarks and leptons in the model according to their $SU(3)$ triality, under an assumption that color neutralization to the state of lowest $SU(3)$ Casimir always takes place. The second function of the condensate is to permit the occurrence of the weak interactions, through the action of a composite of three gluons in the $SU(3)$ representations 3 or $\overline{3}$ contained in the 15 of $SU(4)$ under $SU(4) \supset SU(3) \times U(1)$, accompanied by the action of the condensate. The third function of the condensate is to break the original Z_{12} chiral symmetry of the model to Z_6. As shown in [1], the original Z_{12} chiral symmetry leads to the occurrence in the model of 6 zero mass quark-lepton families; after breaking into Z_6, these mix into three distinct quark-lepton families, two of which remain at zero mass but one of which is no longer protected by chiral symmetry from acquiring mass on a scale characterizing the condensate. Thus, the model of [1] corresponds to the experimentally observed fact that there are two light families and one heavy family in the standard model, and *makes the prediction that for each of the three standard model families, there is a heavy partner occurring at the scale of the condensate.* In particular, the positron

e^+ would have a heavy family partner E^+ at a mass scale characterizing the condensate, which we assume in the further discussion to be of order the top quark mass.

The occurrence of heavy family partners of the light families cannot in itself account for the excess positron-jet events recently reported [3] by the H1 and ZEUS collaborations at HERA. The reason is that if color $SU(3)$ remains an exact symmetry, and if color neutralization is assumed to be instantaneous, the E^+ would be produced as a color singlet, and its dominant decay mode would be the electromagnetic decay $E^+ \to e^+ + \gamma$, which does not corresond to the

e^+ +jet signature reported by the HERA groups. However, the production and decay modes of the E^+ in the model of [1] depend strongly on the details of the surviving unbroken symmetries, and of color neutralization.

Suppose, for example, that color $SU(3)$ were weakly broken to "glow" $SO(3)$, while maintaining triality conservation modulo 3, as suggested by Slanksy, Goldman, and Shaw [4], with the threshold for excitation of free color of order the top quark mass. [It makes sense to talk about a free color threshold in this context because under $SU(3) \supset SO(3)$, all states of $SU(3)$ decompose into diality zero integer L representations of $SO(3)$; half-integer, nonzero diality representations of the covering group $SU(2)$, which are confined, are never encountered.] The possibility of the breaking of color to glow can be naturally incorporated into the model of [1] for two reasons. First of all, the state classification of [1] uses only the conservation of triality modulo 3, and not the details of the particular $SU(3)$ representations corresponding to each triality sector, so a triality preserving breaking of $SU(3)$ to $SO(3)$ leaves this classification, and in particular the distinction between leptons and quarks, intact. Second, to achieve a triality preserving breaking of $SU(3)$ to $SO(3)$, the smallest $SU(3)$ representation with nonzero vacuum expectation must be [4] the 27, since this is the smallest triality zero representation of $SU(3)$ that contains an $SO(3)$ singlet. But since the postulated condensate $S_L^3 T_L^3$ of our model has the $SU(3)$ tensor product structure $6 \times 6 \times 6$, which contains the 27, it is consistent with the framework of [1] to additionally postulate that the condensate has a small component in the 27 representation of color $SU(3)$ as well as a dominant color singlet component. The effect of a breaking of color $SU(3)$ to glow $SO(3)$, with a free color excitation threshold of order the top quark mass, would be to leave the standard model leptons as very nearly color singlets (particularly so for the electron, because of its very small mass), but to permit their heavy counterparts to carry significant admixtures of color non-singlet states. In this scenario, the E^+ could carry a color octet component, and the dominant decay mode would then be single color gluon emission $E^+ \to e^+ + g$, which would appear as a positron plus gluon jet final state, corresponding to the signature observed at HERA. The postulated breaking of color to glow is also relevant for heavy family production processes, because if the E^+ carries a color octet component, the reaction $e^+ + u/d \to E^+ + u/d$ can proceed by single color gluon exchange, leading to a larger production cross section than would be expected for production by photon or Z^0 exchange.

An alternative scenario, which does not require color $SU(3)$ to be broken, is simply to assume that color neutralization does not fully take place in the hard processes involved in E^+ production and its subsequent decay. Recall that in the model of [1], the e^+ and E^+ are both TTT three preon bound states, with the $SU(3)$ wave function (before color neutralization) corresponding to $6_L \times 6_L \times 6_R$, which has the Clebsch series $8 + 10 + \overline{10} + ...$ and contains no color singlet. The postulate made in [1] is that color neutralization occurs by picking up color gluons from the vacuum until the $SU(3)$ state with lowest Casimir is attained, so that only the $SU(3)$ triality plays a role in enumerating the possible states. However, in very hard processes, characterized by momentum transfers much larger than the QCD scale, it is possible that this color neutralization could be incomplete, and that the E^+ would then behave as a state with the color wave function suggested by the preon model Clebsch series. Again, as in the color breaking scenario, this would permit the production of the E^+ from positrons by single color gluon exchange, and its subsequent rapid decay into a positron and a gluon jet.

In summary, we suggest that the production and decay of the excess HERA events can be accounted for by the composite model of [1], augmented by either the assumption that the

Z_6 condensate that breaks $SU(4)$ to color $SU(3)$ contains a small component that further breaks color $SU(3)$ to glow $SO(3)$, or by the assumption that color symmetry remains exact but that the color neutralization postulated in [1] is incomplete in hard processes.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Frank Wilczek for forwarding an email describing the HERA seminar of 12/19/97, and members of the high energy physics group at the IAS for stimulating discussions. This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy under Grant #DE–FG02–90ER40542.

References

[1] S. L. Adler, "Frustrated SU(4) as the Preonic Precursor of the Standard Model", IASSNS-HEP-96/104, submitted to Nuclear Physics. Available on the bulletin board as hep-th/9610190; original version posted 10/25/96; revised version posted 2/20/97.

[2] H. Harari and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. 98B (1982) 269; Nucl. Phys. B204 (1982) 141; Phys. Lett. 102B (1981) 263.

[3] H1 and ZEUS collaborations at HERA; data presented at a seminar on 2/19/97, submitted for publication to Zeitschrift für Physik.

[4] R. Slansky, T. Goldman, and G. L. Shaw, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47 (1981) 887.